since de in come parte parte de la constitución de

1	BEFORE THE SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD				
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON				
3	IN THE MATTER OF) CITIZENS FOR RESPONSIBLE)				
4	COURTHOUSE SITING AND PLANNING;) WASHINGTON VOTERS INFORMATION)				
5	LEAGUE; JACK E. DAVIS, President)				
6	of the BLACKHILL AUDUBON SOCIETY;) SHB No. 212 CHARLES LINDBERG and ELEONORE B.)				
7	PRICE,) ORDER DISMISSING) REQUEST FOR REVIEW				
8	Appellants,)				
9	v.)				
10	THURSTON COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,)				
11	Respondents.)				
	 /				

This matter comes on before the Board <u>sua sponte</u> on jurisdictional grounds, and having considered the appellants' request for review, the facts alleged therein and the request for relief, and being fully advised, the Board finds that:

I.

The jurisdiction of this Board, is derived only from RCW 90.58.180(1) (review of the granting or denial of a permit) or RCW 90.58.180(4)

12

13

14

15

16

17

(appeal by local governments of master programs adopted or approved by the Department of Ecology).

II.

The function of the Shorelines Hearings Board is to review the action of local governments in granting, denying or rescinding permits. Absent an action granting or denying a permit this Board has no jurisdiction.

Even conceding, arguendo, that the shoreline Act requires respondents to obtain a shoreline substantial development permit, this Board is not the proper forum for the relief sought by appellants. Enforcement of the Shoreline Management Act of 1971 is placed upon the Attorney General or the attorney for local government to:

"... bring such injunctive, declaratory, or other actions as are necessary to insure that no uses are made of the shorelines of the state in conflict with the provisions and programs of this chapter, ... "RCW 90.58.210.

Appellants' remedy is to request the prosecuting attorney and the Attorney General to act as required by the foregoing statute. If such ones fail to do so, appellants may commence an action in Superior Court.

III.

Lastly, this Board has received a letter from the office of the Attorney General stating that:

"The Department of Ecology and the Attorney General can find no basis in said petition to invoke the certification procedures of RCW 90.58.180(1)."

We view that communication as a determination that neither agency

ORDER DISMISSING REQUEST FOR REVIEW

1	will certify the request. Lacking such a certification, RCW 90.58.180(1)
2	provides that, inter alia, this Board shall not review "the matter
3	covered by the requestor" and "That the failure to obtain such
4	certification shall not preclude the requestor from obtaining a
5	review in the Superior Court under any right to review otherwise
6	available to the requestor."
7	IV.
8	Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the Request for Review be and it
9	is dismissed.
10	DATED this day of March, 1976.
11	SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD
12	Olivi Savite
3	CHRIS SMITH Chairman
14	Ma Tankelia
15	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
16	Half Koodward
17	WALT WOODWARD, Member
18	Kohat EBent
19	ROBERT E. BEATY, Member
20	(Xulun H. Hunte
21	ROBERT F. HINTZ, Member
22	He for the second
23	RALPH A. BESWICK, Member
24	
25	

ORDER DISMISSING REQUEST FOR REVIEW

ò

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 1 I, Dolories Osland, certify that I deposited in the United States 2 mail, copies of the foregoing document on the 3 March, 1976, to each of the following-named parties, at the last 4 known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed to the 5 respective envelopes: 6 Mr. Charles Lindberg, Director 7 Mr. Jack E. Davis, President Ms. Eleonore B. Price, Director Blackhill Audubon Society Citizens for Responsible Courthouse 8 Rt. 4, Box 202-C Siting and Planning Olympia, Washington 98502 P. O. Box Drawer E 9 Lacey, Washington 98503 Thurston County Commissioners Thurston County Courthouse 10 Mr. Bertram C. Nelson, President 11th and Capitol Way Washington Voters Information League Olympia, Washington 11 P. O. Box 276 Milton, Washington 98354 12 Mr. Patrick Sutherland 13 Thurston County Prosecutor Thurston County Courthouse 14 11th and Capitol Way Olympia, Washington 98501 15 16 17 DOLORIES OSLAND, Clerk of 18 SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD 19 20 21 22

ORDER DISMISSING REQUEST FOR REVIEW

23

24

25

BEFORE THE 1 SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF CITIZENS) 3 FOR RESPONSIBLE COURTHOUSE SITING AND SHB No. 212-A) PLANNING; WASHINGTON VOTERS INFORMATION LEAGUE; JACK E. DAVIS, President of the ORDER ON PETITION FOR BLACK HILL AUDUBON SOCIETY; CHARLES DECLARATORY RULING 5 LINDBERG, and ELEONORE B. PRICE, AND DISMISSAL 6 Petitioners. 7 3 9 10 11 12 THIS MATTER, the Petition for Binding Declaratory Ruling filed on 13 March 8, 1976, having come before the entire Board on Motion of 14 Petitioners, and the Board having considered the Petition and, pursuant 15 to WAC 461-08-255(3)(b), having determined that no declaratory ruling 16 is to be issued, and it then appearing that this matter should be

IT IS ORDERED that this matter should and and the same is hereby

dismissed, NOW THEREFORE

17

1	dismissed.	
2	DATED this 17th	day of March, 1976.
3		SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD
4 5		CHRIS SMITH, Chairman
6		D-15-8-1
7		ROBERT E. BEATY, Member
8		A B De made
9		RALPH A. BESWICK, Member
10		Kolan W. J. Hust
11		ROBERT F. HINTZ, Member
12		Will Justice
13		W. A. GISSBERG, Member
14		(See concurring statement, next page)
15		WALT WOODWARD, Member
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	ORDER ON PETITION FOR	
27	DECLARATORY RULING AND DISMISSAL	2

WOODWARD, concurring

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Believing we should state our reasoning for a decision, I attach herewith my rationale.

RCW 34.04.080 states that an agency may issue a declaratory ruling pertaining to a "statute enforceable by it." But none of the eight items of relief sought by Petitioners, in my opinion, are enforceable solely by this Board.

To the contrary, the Shoreline Management Act, which created this Board and defined its legal capacities, says, in RCW 90.58.210, that the attorney general or the attorney for local government shall bring necessary "declaratory" actions. I take this to mean that this Board does not have that authority.

I, therefore, believe that this Board cannot issue a Binding Declaratory Ruling in matters of this kind. I concur in the majority opinion.

ORDER ON PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING

AND DISMISSAL

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

1	CERTIFICATION OF MAILING
2	I, Dolories Osland, certify that I deposited in the United States
3	mail, copies of the foregoing document on the day of
4	March, 1976, to each of the following-anmed parties,
5	at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed
6	to the respective envelopes:
7	Citizens for Responsible Courthouse Siting and Planning
8	P. O. Box Drawer E Lacey, Washington 98503
9	Washington Voters Information League
10	P. O. Box 276 Milton, Washington 98534
11	Mr. Jack E. Davis, President
12	Blackhill Audubon Society Route 4, Box 202-C
13	Olympia, Washington 98502
14	Mr. Charles Lindberg 1631 Miller Avenue
15	Olympia, Washington 98506
16	Mrs. Eleonore B. Price 921 West 5th Avenue
17	Olympia, Washington 98502
18	Board of County Commissioners of Thurston County
19	Thurston County Courthouse Olympia, Washington 98501
20	Mr. Patrick Sutherland
21	Thurston County Prosecuting Attorney Thurston County Courthouse
22	Olympia, Washington 98501
23	Dolores Osland
24	DOLORIES OSLAND, Clerk of the SHORELINES HEARINGS BOARD
25	

ORDER ON PETITION FOR 26 DECLARATORY RULING 27 AND DISMISSAL